

Modeling Technical Appendix

Freeport Regional Water Project DEIR/EIS

Table of Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

1. Introduction

1.1. Appendix organization.....	1-2
1.2. Modeling team.....	1-2

2. Modeling Methodology Overview

2.1. Surface water and reservoir operations modeling.....	2-2
2.1.1. CVP/SWP system.....	2-2
2.1.2. EBMUD system.....	2-2
2.1.3. SCWA system.....	2-3
2.1.4. Modeling framework.....	2-4
2.2. Water quality modeling for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.....	2-6
2.3. Temperature and salmon mortality modeling.....	2-6
2.4. Hydroelectric power modeling.....	2-6
2.5. Reverse flow modeling for the Sacramento River.....	2-6
2.6. Modeling scenarios evaluated for the Freeport Regional Water Project.....	2-7
2.6.1. Alternatives.....	2-7
2.6.2. Level of development.....	2-7
2.6.3. Simulation period hydrology.....	2-9
2.7. Application and limitations of modeling results to estimates of impacts on beneficial uses.....	2-9

3. Surface Water and Reservoir Operations Modeling

3.1. Modeling methodology.....	3-1
3.1.1. CALSIM II.....	3-1
3.1.1.1. Model overview.....	3-1
3.1.1.2. Consistency with USBR OCAP CALSIM II analyses.....	3-2
3.1.1.3. Base assumptions.....	3-3
3.1.1.3.1. Assumptions overview.....	3-3
3.1.1.3.2. Hydrology.....	3-8
3.1.1.3.3. Facilities.....	3-13
3.1.1.3.4. Regulatory standards.....	3-15
3.1.1.3.5. Operations Criteria.....	3-32
3.1.2. EBMUDSIM.....	3-43
3.1.2.1. Model description.....	3-43
3.1.2.1.1. Verification study.....	3-45
3.1.2.2. Application to FRWP modeling.....	3-47
3.1.2.2.1. Alternative 1.....	3-47
3.1.2.2.2. Alternatives 2-5.....	3-48
3.1.2.2.2.1. EBMUDSIM interaction with CALSIM II.....	3-49
3.1.2.2.3. Alternative 6.....	3-51
3.1.2.2.3.1. EBMUDSIM interaction with CALSIM II.....	3-55
3.1.3. Mokelumne Reservoirs Daily Model.....	3-56

3.1.3.1. Model Description.....	3-56
3.1.3.2. Model Assumptions	3-56
3.1.3.2.1. Historical Daily Inflow to Pardee Reservoir	3-56
3.1.3.2.2. Camanche Reservoir Flood Control Operations.....	3-58
3.1.3.2.3. Reservoir Geometry	3-58
3.1.3.2.4. Enlarged Pardee Reservoir	3-62
3.1.3.2.5. Water Supply Yield.....	3-62
3.1.3.3. Application of Daily Reservoir Operations Model	3-63
3.1.3.4. Simulation Results	3-63
3.1.3.4.1. Average Runoff Results (Year 2000).....	3-63
3.1.3.4.2. Dry Runoff Results (Year 1994)	3-73
3.1.3.4.3. Conclusions	3-77
3.1.3.5. References	3-77
3.1.4. SCWA Water Allocation Model	3-79
3.1.4.1. Model description.....	3-79
3.1.4.2. Assumptions.....	3-79
3.1.4.2.1. SCWA Demand.....	3-79
3.1.4.2.2. Surface Water Facilities	3-80
3.1.4.2.3. Groundwater Facilities	3-80
3.1.4.2.4. Surface Water Supply.....	3-80
3.1.4.2.5. Groundwater Supply.....	3-80
3.1.4.3. Application to FRWP modeling.....	3-81
3.2. Modeling assumptions	3-84
3.2.1. Project participants.....	3-84
3.2.1.1. EBMUD	3-84
3.2.1.2. SCWA	3-86
3.2.2. Assumptions for alternatives.....	3-91
3.3. Model integration.....	3-95
3.4. Simulation results - 2001 LOD	3-98
3.4.1. Summary results.....	3-98
3.4.2. Freeport Project diversions	3-111
3.4.3. Reservoir storage	3-143
3.4.4. River flows.....	3-204
3.4.5. Delta flows	3-261
3.4.6. Delta exports	3-304
3.4.7. CVP deliveries	3-316
3.4.8. SWP deliveries.....	3-344
3.4.9. Discussion of CALSIM II results.....	3-365
3.4.9.1. Alternatives 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1	3-365
3.4.9.2. Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1	3-366
3.4.9.3. Conclusion.....	3-368
3.4.10 EBMUD-as-Delta-Export study	3-369
3.5. Simulation results – 2020 LOD.....	3-375
3.5.1. Summary results.....	3-375
3.5.2. Project diversions.....	3-388
3.5.3. Reservoir storage	3-418
3.5.4. River flows.....	3-479
3.5.5. Delta flows	3-536
3.5.6. Delta exports	3-579
3.5.7. CVP deliveries	3-591
3.5.8. SWP deliveries	3-619

4.4.4.1.1. Ozonation By-products.....	4-71
4.4.4.1.2. Chlorination By-products	4-73
4.4.4.2. Potential effects on meeting CUWA and SWP salinity targets.....	4-74
4.4.4.3. Contra Costa Water District Delivery Water Quality	4-76
4.4.5. Monthly results at 2001 LOD	4-79
4.4.6. Monthly results at 2020 LOD	4-170
4.4.7. An alternative analysis based on empirical significance criteria	4-228
4.4.7.1. Contra Costa Water District’s Los Vaqueros Reservoir Project EIR/EIS significance criteria	4-228
4.4.7.2. Approach to supplemental water quality analysis.....	4-229
4.4.7.3. Results of supplemental analysis.....	4-230
4.4.7.3.1. Alternatives 2-5	4-230
4.4.7.3.2. Alternative 6.....	4-231
4.5. Summary and Conclusions.....	4-240
4.6. References	4-242
4.7. Figures.....	4-244
5. Water Temperature and Salmon Mortality Modeling	
5.1. Temperature models.....	5-1
5.2. Salmon mortality model.....	5-2
5.3. Application and limitations of the temperature and salmon mortality modeling.....	5-2
5.4. Simulation results – 2001 LOD.....	5-3
5.4.1. Temperature modeling results.....	5-3
5.4.2. Salmon mortality modeling results	5-27
5.5. Simulation results – 2020 LOD.....	5-32
5.5.1. Temperature modeling results.....	5-32
5.5.2. Salmon mortality modeling results	5-57
5.6. References	5-62
6. Hydroelectric Power Modeling	
6.1. Model description	6-1
6.2. Simulation results.....	6-1
6.2.1. Alternative 1.....	6-2
6.2.2. Alternatives 2-5.....	6-5
6.2.3. Alternative 6.....	6-8

Attachment A - Results of preliminary modeling of “worst case” reverse flow events

List of Figures

- 2-1 Information Exchange Between FRWP Simulation Models
- 2.1.4-1 FRWP Surface Water and Reservoir Operations Model Interactions

- 3.1.2.1-1 EBMUDSIM System Schematic
- 3.1.2.1-2 Drought Planning Sequence Hydrology
- 3.1.2.1.1-1 EBMUD Total System Storage – 2002 Verification Analysis
- 3.1.2.1.1-2 Total Releases from Camanche Reservoir- 2002 Verification Analysis
- 3.1.2.1.1-3 Annual Total Camanche Releases – Regression Analysis
- 3.1.2.2.2.1-1 CALSIM/EBMUDSIM Interaction Schematic

- 3.1.3.4.1-1 Pardee Reservoir Inflow for 2000
- 3.1.3.4.1-2 Historical Range of Simulated Monthly Pardee Reservoir Inflow (cfs)
- 3.1.3.4.1-3 Simulated Pardee Reservoir Storage and Elevation for 2000
- 3.1.3.4.1-4 Simulated EBMUD Diversions and Pardee Reservoir Releases for 2000
- 3.1.3.4.1-5 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Storage and Elevation for 2000
- 3.1.3.4.1-6 Comparison of Enlarged Pardee Reservoir Storage and Elevation for 2000
- 3.1.3.4.1-7 Comparison of Simulated Camanche Storage and Releases for Existing Pardee and Enlarged Pardee for 2000
- 3.1.3.4.2-1 Pardee Inflow and Simulated Existing Pardee Storage for 1994
- 3.1.3.4.2-2 Simulated and Historic Camanche Reservoir Storage and Release for 1994
- 3.1.3.4.2-3 Comparison of Simulated Existing and Enlarged Pardee Elevation and Storage for 1994
- 3.1.3.4.2-4 Simulated Camanche Storage and Releases for Existing Pardee and Enlarged Pardee for 1994
- 3.1.3.4.3-1 Potential Effects of Enlarge Pardee on Rafting Operations
- 3.1.4.3-1 Assumed SCWA CVP Delivery Patterns Based on Hydrologic Year-Type
- 3.1.4.3-2 SCWA Water Supply Allocation Over 72-Year Evaluation Period, 2001 LOD Studies
- 3.1.4.3-3 SCWA Water Supply Allocation Over 72-Year Evaluation Period, 2020 LOD Studies

- 3.2.1.2-1 CALSIM II schematic of DSA 70 Region
- 3.3-1 Model Iteration Formulation
- 3.4.1-1 Average Change in Simulated Flows, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Average of All Years)
- 3.4.1-2 Average Change in Simulated Flows, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.1-3 Average Change in Simulated Flows, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1976-1977)
- 3.4.1-4 Average Change in Simulated Flows, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)
- 3.4.1-5 Average Change in Simulated CVP/SWP Operations, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Average of All Years)
- 3.4.1-6 Average Change in Simulated CVP/SWP Operations, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.1-7 Average Change in Simulated CVP/SWP Operations, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1976-1977)
- 3.4.1-8 Average Change in Simulated CVP/SWP Operations, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)
- 3.4.1-9 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected Parameters, 2001 LOD (Average of All Years)
- 3.4.1-10 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected Parameters, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.1-11 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected Parameters, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1976-1977)
- 3.4.1-12 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected Parameters, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)
- 3.4.1-13 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, 2001 LOD (Average of All Years)
- 3.4.1-14 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.1-15 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1976-1977)
- 3.4.1-16 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)

- 3.4.2-1 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Total Project Diversions, 2001 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.4.2-2 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Total Project Diversions, 2001 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.4.2-3 Simulated Annual Total Project Diversions, 2001 LOD (Contract Year) Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1
- 3.4.2-4 Simulated Annual Total Project Diversions, 2001 LOD (Contract Year) Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1
- 3.4.2-5 Simulated Annual Total Project Diversions, 2001 LOD (Water Year) Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1
- 3.4.2-6 Simulated Annual Total Project Diversions, 2001 LOD (Water Year) Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1
- 3.4.2-7 Simulated Monthly Total Project Diversions, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934) Alternatives 2-5 & 6 minus Alternative 1
- 3.4.2-8 Simulated Monthly Total Project Diversions, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992) Alternatives 2-5 & 6 minus Alternative 1
- 3.4.2-9 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to EBMUD, 2001 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.4.2-10 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to EBMUD, 2001 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.4.2-11 Simulated Annual Deliveries to EBMUD, 2001 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.4.2-12 Simulated Annual Deliveries to EBMUD, 2001 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.4.2-13 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to EBMUD, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.2-14 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to EBMUD, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)
- 3.4.2-15 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2001 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.4.2-16 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2001 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.4.2-17 Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2001 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.4.2-18 Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2001 LOD (Water Year)

- 3.4.2-19 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2001 LOD (Dry Period 1928-1934)
- 3.4.2-20 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)
- 3.4.2-21 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2001 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.4.2-22 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2001 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.4.2-23 Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2001 LOD (Contract Year) Alternatives 2-5 & 6
- 3.4.2-24 Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2001 LOD (Water Year) Alternatives 2-5 & 6
- 3.4.2-25 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.2-26 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)

- 3.4.3-1 Exceedence for Simulated Pardee Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-2 Simulated Pardee Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-3 Simulated Pardee Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2001 LOD (WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.3-4 Simulated Pardee Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2001 LOD (WY 1987-1992)
- 3.4.3-5 Exceedence for Simulated EBMUD Total System Storage: End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-6 Simulated EBMUD Total System Storage: End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-7 Exceedence for Simulated Trinity Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-8 Simulated Trinity Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-9 Simulated Trinity Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2001 LOD (WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.3-10 Simulated Trinity Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2001 LOD (WY 1987-1992)

- 3.4.3-11 Exceedence for Simulated Shasta Reservoir End-for-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-12 Simulated Shasta Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-13 Simulated Shasta Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2001 LOD (WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.3-14 Simulated Shasta Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2001 LOD (WY 1987-1992)
- 3.4.3-15 Exceedence for Simulated Folsom Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-16 Simulated Folsom Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-17 Simulated Folsom Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2001 LOD (WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.3-18 Simulated Folsom Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2001 LOD (WY 1987-1992)
- 3.4.3-19 Exceedence for Simulated Oroville Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-20 Simulated Oroville Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-21 Simulated Oroville Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2001 LOD (WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.3-22 Simulated Oroville Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2001 LOD (WY 1987-1992)

- 3.4.4-1 Average Simulated Monthly Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (Dry and Critical Years), 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-2 Average Simulated Monthly Sacramento River Flow Below Freeport Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (Dry and Critical Years), 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-3 Average Simulated Monthly Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (Dry and Critical Years), 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-4 Average Simulated Monthly American River Flow Below Nimbus (Dry and Critical Years), 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-5 Average Simulated Monthly Mokelumne River Flow Below Camanche Reservoir (Dry and Critical Years), 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-6 Average Simulated Monthly Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (Dry and Critical Years), 2001 LOD

- 3.4.5-1 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Mokelumne River Delta Inflow, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-2 Simulated Annual Mokelumne River Delta Inflow, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-3 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Georgiana Slough Flow, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-4 Simulated Annual Georgiana Slough Flow, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-5 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Total Delta Inflow, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-6 Simulated Annual Total Delta Inflow, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-7 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Delta Outflow, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-8 Simulated Annual Delta Outflow, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.6-1 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-2 Simulated Annual Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-3 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-4 Simulated Annual Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.7-1 Exceedence for Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-2 Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries, 2001 LOD (Alternative 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.7-3 Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries, 2001 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.7-4 Exceedence for Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-5 Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2001 LOD (Alternative 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.7-6 Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2001 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.7-7 Exceedence for Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-8 Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries. 2001 LOD (Alternative 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.7-9 Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries, 2001 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)

- 3.4.7-10 Exceedence for Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-11 Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2001 LOD (Alternative 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.7-12 Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2001 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)

- 3.4.8-1 Exceedence for Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-2 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries, 2001 LOD (Alternatives 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.8-3 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries, 2001 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.8-4 Exceedence for Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to Metropolitan Water District, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-5 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to Metropolitan Water District, 2001 LOD (Alternatives 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.8-6 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to Metropolitan Water District, 2001 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.8-7 Exceedence for Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-8 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD, 2001 LOD (Alternatives 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.4.8-9 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD, 2001 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)

- 3.4.10-1 Comparison of Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, Sensitivity to COA Assumption, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Average of All Years)
- 3.4.10-2 Comparison of Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, Sensitivity to COA Assumption, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.4.10-3 Comparison of Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, Sensitivity to COA Assumption, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1976-1977)
- 3.4.10-4 Comparison of Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, Sensitivity to COA Assumption, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)

- 3.5.1-1 Average Change in Simulated Flows, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD (Average of All Years)
- 3.5.1-2 Average Change in Simulated Flows, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.1-3 Average Change in Simulated Flows, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1976-1977)
- 3.5.1-4 Average Change in Simulated Flows, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)
- 3.5.1-5 Average Change in Simulated CVP/SWP Operations, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD (Average of All Years)
- 3.5.1-6 Average Change in Simulated CVP/SWP Operations, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.1-7 Average Change in Simulated CVP/SWP Operations, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1976-1977)
- 3.5.1-8 Average Change in Simulated CVP/SWP Operations, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)
- 3.5.1-9 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected Parameters, 2020 LOD (Average of All Years)
- 3.5.1-10 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected Parameters, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.1-11 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected Parameters, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1976-1977)
- 3.5.1-12 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected Parameters, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)
- 3.5.1-13 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, 2020 LOD (Average of All Years)
- 3.5.1-14 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.1-15 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1976-1977)
- 3.5.1-16 Comparison of Alternatives for Selected CVP and SWP Parameters, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)

- 3.5.2-1 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Project Diversions, 2020 LOD (Contract Year)

- 3.5.2-2 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Project Diversions, 2020 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.5.2-3 Simulated Annual Project Diversions, 2020 LOD (Contract Year) Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1
- 3.5.2-4 Simulated Annual Project Diversions, 2020 LOD (Contract Year) Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1
- 3.5.2-5 Simulated Annual Project Diversions, 2020 LOD (Water Year) Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1
- 3.5.2-6 Simulated Annual Project Diversions, 2020 LOD (Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1) (Water Year)
- 3.5.2-7 Simulated Monthly Project Diversions, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934) Alternatives 2-5 & 6 minus Alternative 1
- 3.5.2-8 Simulated Monthly Project Diversions, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992) Alternatives 2-5 & 6 minus Alternative 1
- 3.5.2-9 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to EBMUD, 2020 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.5.2-10 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to EBMUD, 2020 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.5.2-11 Simulated Annual Deliveries to EBMUD, 2020 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.5.2-12 Simulated Annual Deliveries to EBMUD, 2020 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.5.2-13 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to EBMUD, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.2-14 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to EBMUD, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)
- 3.5.2-15 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2020 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.5.2-16 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2020 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.5.2-17 Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2020 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.5.2-18 Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2020 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.5.2-19 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2020 LOD (Dry Period 1928-1934)

- 3.5.2-20 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to SCWA at Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)
- 3.5.2-21 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2020 LOD (Contract Year)
- 3.5.2-22 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2020 LOD (Water Year)
- 3.5.2-23 Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2020 LOD (Contract Year) Alternatives 2-5 & 6
- 3.5.2-24 Simulated Annual Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2020 LOD (Water Year) Alternatives 2-5 & 6
- 3.5.2-25 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.2-26 Simulated Monthly Deliveries to SCWA at Freeport, 2020 LOD (Dry Period WY 1987-1992)

- 3.5.3-1 Exceedence for Simulated Pardee Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-2 Simulated Pardee Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-3 Simulated Pardee Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2020 LOD (WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.3-4 Simulated Pardee Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2020 LOD (WY 1987-1992)
- 3.5.3-5 Exceedence for Simulated EBMUD Total System Storage: End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-6 Simulated EBMUD Total System Storage: End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-7 Exceedence for Simulated Trinity Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-8 Simulated Trinity Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-9 Simulated Trinity Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2020 LOD (WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.3-10 Simulated Trinity Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2020 LOD (WY 1987-1992)
- 3.5.3-11 Exceedence for Simulated Shasta Reservoir End-for-September Storage, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.3-12 Simulated Shasta Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-13 Simulated Shasta Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2020 LOD (WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.3-14 Simulated Shasta Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2020 LOD (WY 1987-1992)
- 3.5.3-15 Exceedence for Simulated Folsom Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-16 Simulated Folsom Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-17 Simulated Folsom Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2020 LOD (WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.3-18 Simulated Folsom Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2020 LOD (WY 1987-1992)
- 3.5.3-19 Exceedence for Simulated Oroville Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-20 Simulated Oroville Reservoir End-of-September Storage, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-21 Simulated Oroville Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2020 LOD (WY 1928-1934)
- 3.5.3-22 Simulated Oroville Reservoir Drought Period Storage, 2020 LOD (WY 1987-1992)

- 3.5.4-1 Simulated Average Monthly Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (Dry and Critical Years), 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-2 Simulated Average Monthly Sacramento River Flow Below Freeport Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (Dry and Critical Years), 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-3 Simulated Average Monthly Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (Dry and Critical Years), 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-4 Simulated Average Monthly American River Flow Below Nimbus (Dry and Critical Years), 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-5 Simulated Average Monthly Mokelumne River Flow Below Camanche Reservoir (Dry and Critical Years), 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-6 Simulated Average Monthly Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (Dry and Critical Years), 2020 LOD

- 3.5.5-1 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Mokelumne River Delta Inflow, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.5-2 Simulated Annual Mokelumne River Delta Inflow, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-3 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Georgiana Slough Flow, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-4 Simulated Annual Georgiana Slough Flow, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-5 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Total Delta Inflow, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-6 Simulated Annual Total Delta Inflow, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-7 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Delta Outflow, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-8 Simulated Annual Delta Outflow, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.6-1 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-2 Simulated Annual Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-3 Exceedence for Simulated Annual Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-4 Simulated Annual Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.7-1 Exceedence for Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-2 Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries, 2020 LOD (Alternative 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.7-3 Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries, 2020 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.7-4 Exceedence for Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-5 Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2020 LOD (Alternative 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.7-6 Simulated Annual CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2020 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.7-7 Exceedence for Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-8 Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries. 2020 LOD (Alternative 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.7-9 Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries, 2020 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)

- 3.5.7-10 Exceedence for Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-11 Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2020 LOD (Alternative 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.7-12 Simulated Annual CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries, 2020 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)

- 3.5.8-1 Exceedence for Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-2 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries, 2020 LOD (Alternatives 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.8-3 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries, 2020 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.8-4 Exceedence for Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to Metropolitan Water District, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-5 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to Metropolitan Water District, 2020 LOD (Alternatives 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.8-6 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to Metropolitan Water District, 2020 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.8-7 Exceedence for Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-8 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD, 2020 LOD (Alternatives 2-5 comparison to Alternative 1)
- 3.5.8-9 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD, 2020 LOD (Alternative 6 comparison to Alternative 1)

- 4.1.1-1 Variation of monthly average salinity at Jersey Point with net Delta outflow in water years 1965 to 1998
- 4.1.1-2 Variation of monthly average salinity at Jersey Point with antecedent Delta outflow (Denton, 1993) in water years 1965 to 1998
- 4.2.1-1 Variation of steady-state X2 location with Delta outflow based on the Kimmerer-Monismith Equation
- 4.3.2.3-1a Simultaneous measurements of bromide and chloride concentration of water sample from Rock Slough collected between January 1990 and October 1994

- 4.3.2.3-1b Simultaneous measurements of bromide and electrical conductivity of water sample from Rock Slough collected between January 1990 and October 1994
- 4.3.2.3-1c Simultaneous measurements of chloride concentration and electrical conductivity of water sample from Rock Slough collected between July 1983 and October 1994
- 4.3.2.3-2a Simultaneous measurements of total dissolved solids and chloride concentration of water sample collected at Banks Pumping Plant between July 1986 and January 1995
- 4.3.2.3-2b Simultaneous measurements of total dissolved solids concentration and electrical conductivity of water sample collected at Banks Pumping Plant between July 1986 and January 1995
- 4.3.2.3-3 Simultaneous measurements of chloride concentration and electrical conductivity of water sample collected from Old River near the Los Vaqueros intake between March 1989 and January 1998

- 4.4.1-1 Simulated monthly-average Delta outflow at Martinez and potential changes under Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.1-2 Potential changes in monthly-average Delta outflow at 2001 LOD under Alternatives 2-5
- 4.4.1-3 Simulated monthly-average electrical conductivity at Martinez and potential changes under Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD.
- 4.4.1-4 Potential changes in monthly-average salinity under Alternatives 2-5 at Martinez at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.1-5 Monthly-average Delta outflow at Martinez and potential changes under Alternative 6 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.1-6 Potential changes in monthly-average Delta outflow under Alternative 6 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.1-7 Potential changes in monthly-average electrical conductivity at Martinez under Alternative 6 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.1-8 Monthly-average Delta outflow at Martinez and potential changes under Alternatives 2-5 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.1-9 Potential changes in monthly-average Delta outflow under Alternatives 2-5 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.1-10 Potential changes in monthly-average salinity at Martinez under Alternatives 2-5 at 2020 LOD

- 4.4.1-11 Monthly-average Delta outflow at Martinez and potential changes under Alternative 6 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.1-12 Potential changes in monthly-average Delta outflow under Alternative 6 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.1-13 Potential changes in monthly-average salinity at Martinez under Alternative 6 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.2-1 Potential changes in monthly mean X2 and salinity at Chipps Island under Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.2-2 Potential changes in monthly-average X2 location from February through June under Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.2-3 Potential changes in monthly-average X2 location from February through June under Alternative 6 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.2-4 Potential changes in monthly-average X2 location from February through June under Alternatives 2-5 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.2-5 Potential changes in monthly-average X2 location from February through June under Alternative 6 at 2020 LOD

- 4.4.4-1 Simulated monthly-averaged chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River under Alternative 1 and Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4-2 Potential change in monthly-averaged chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River under Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4-3 Simulated monthly-averaged chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River under Alternative 1 and Alternative 6 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4-4 Potential change in monthly-averaged chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River under Alternative 6 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4-5 Simulated monthly-averaged chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River under Alternative 1 and Alternatives 2-5 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.4-6 Potential change in monthly-averaged chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River under Alternatives 2-5 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.4-7 Simulated monthly-averaged chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River under Alternative 1 and Alternative 6 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.4-8 Potential change in monthly-averaged chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River under Alternative 6 at 2020 LOD

- 4.4.7.3.1-1 Potential changes in monthly-average chloride concentration in Rock Slough under Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD.

- 4.4.7.3.1-2 Potential changes in monthly-average chloride concentration in Clifton Court Forebay under Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD.
- 4.4.7.3.1-3 Potential changes in monthly-average chloride concentration at Tracy Pumping Plant under Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD.
- 4.4.7.3.1-4 Potential changes in monthly-average chloride concentration in Rock Slough under Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD.
- 4.4.7.3.2-1 Potential changes in monthly-average chloride concentration in Rock Slough under Alternative 6 at 2001 LOD.
- 4.4.7.3.2-2 Potential changes in monthly-average chloride concentration in Rock Slough under Alternative 6 at 2001 LOD.

- 6.2-1 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Power Plants, Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 6.2-2 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Load Center, Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 6.2-3 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Power Plants, Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 6.2-4 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Load Center, Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 6.2-5 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Power Plants, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 6.2-6 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Load Center, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 6.2-7 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Power Plants, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 6.2-8 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Load Center, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 6.2-9 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Power Plants, Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 6.2-10 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Load Center, Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 6.2-11 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Power Plants, Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 6.2-12 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Load Center, Alternative 6, 2020 LOD

List of Tables

1.2.-1	Modeling Team Members
2.6.2-1	Level of Development and Demands for FRWP Modeling Analysis
3.1.1.2-1	Relationship between OCAP Update and FRWP CALSIM II Studies
3.1.1.3.1-1	CALSIM II FRWP Studies Assumptions
3.1.1.3.2-1	Level of Development - Land Use Assumptions
3.1.1.3.2-2	Project / Non-project Land Use Split
3.1.1.2.2-3	Refuge Water Demand- Firm Level 2
3.1.1.3.2-4	American River Demand Summary (TAF/Yr)
3.1.1.3.2-5	San Joaquin River Basin Demand Assumptions
3.1.1.3.2-6	CVP South-of-Delta Contract based Demands
3.1.1.3.3-1	Major Storage Facilities
3.1.1.3.3-2	Major Conveyance Facilities
3.1.1.3.4-1	Trinity River Water-year Classification
3.1.1.3.4-2	Trinity River Minimum Flow Schedules (CFS)
3.1.1.3.4-3	Clear Creek Proposed Flow Schedules (CFS)
3.1.1.3.4-4	Clear Creek Flow Schedules
3.1.1.3.4-5	Temperature Control Objective Surrogate Flow Schedules (CFS)
3.1.1.3.4-6	Sacramento River Flow Schedules
3.1.1.3.4-7	Feather River Minimum Flow Schedule
3.1.1.3.4-8	American River Minimum Flow Schedule
3.1.1.3.4-9	American River Flow Schedules

- 3.1.1.3.4-10 Sacramento River at Rio Vista Flow Schedule (CFS)
- 3.1.1.3.4-11 Mokelumne River Year Type Classification
- 3.1.1.3.4-12 Mokelumne River below Camanche Dam Minimum Flow Schedule (CFS)
- 3.1.1.3.4-13 Mokelumne River below Woodbridge Diversion Dam Minimum Flow Schedule (CFS)
- 3.1.1.3.4-14 Stanislaus River Minimum and Pulse Flow Schedules
- 3.1.1.3.4-15 Surrogate Dissolved Oxygen Release Volumes
- 3.1.1.3.4-16 Merced River Minimum Flow Schedules (CFS)
- 3.1.1.3.4-17 Tuolumne River Year Type Classification
- 3.1.1.3.4-18 Tuolumne River Minimum Flow Schedules
- 3.1.1.3.4-19 San Joaquin River at Vernalis Minimum Flow Schedule (CFS)
- 3.1.1.3.4-20 San Joaquin River Minimum Flows (VAMP)
- 3.1.1.3.4-21 Minimum Delta Outflow Schedule (CFS)
- 3.1.1.3.4-22 Required X2 Compliance days at Chipps Island (days)
- 3.1.1.3.4-23 Required X2 Compliance days at Roe Island (days)
- 3.1.1.3.4-24 Sacramento River at Emmaton Maximum Salinity Requirement
- 3.1.1.3.4-25 San Joaquin River at Jersey Point Maximum Salinity Requirement
- 3.1.1.3.4-26 Rock Slough Maximum Salinity Requirement
- 3.1.1.3.4-27 Sacramento River at Collinsville Maximum Salinity Requirement
- 3.1.1.3.4-28 Export Restrictions
- 3.1.1.3.4-29 Restriction of Total Export, VAMP Criteria
- 3.1.1.3.5-1 NCP Flow Objectives
- 3.1.1.3.5-2 Folsom Lake Flood Control
- 3.1.1.3.5-3 Nimbus Dam Discretionary Operations Criteria
- 3.1.1.3.5-4 Water Forum Mitigation Water Schedules

- 3.1.1.3.5-5 Annual Water Supply Categories
- 3.1.1.3.5-6 Annual Water Supply Allocations (TAF)
- 3.1.1.3.5-7 Division Agreement Schedule (TAF)
- 3.1.1.3.5-8 CVPIA 3406(b)(2) Actions Schedule
- 3.1.1.3.5-9 EWA Actions Schedule
- 3.1.1.3.5-10 EWA Assets

- 3.1.2.1.1-1 EBMUD Demand Management Program
- 3.1.2.2-1 FRWP EBMUDSIM Studies
- 3.1.2.2.1-1 Joint Settlement Agreement Fish Flow Schedule
- 3.1.2.2.3-1 Enlarged Pardee Elevation-Area-Capacity Table
- 3.1.2.2.3-2 JSA Fishery Release Year-type Comparison for Different Alternatives
- 3.1.2.2.3-3 Pardee/Camanche Storage Thresholds for JSA Fishery Release
- 3.1.2.2.3-4 Customer Cutback Frequency and Severity Comparison
- 3.1.2.2.3-5 Total System Storage Thresholds for Customer Cutback Implementation

- 3.1.3.2.1-1 Summary of Pardee Reservoir Inflows for 1952-2001
- 3.1.3.2.3-1 Summary of Camanche Reservoir Geometry Data
- 3.1.3.2.3-2 Summary of Existing Pardee Reservoir Geometry Data
- 3.1.3.2.3-3 Summary of Enlarged Pardee Reservoir Geometry Data
- 3.1.3.4.3-1 Potential Effects of Enlarge Pardee on Rafting Operations

- 3.2.1.1-1 CALSIM II Input for EBMUD Diversion Pattern @ Freeport (TAF) Alternatives 2-5 (2001 LOD)
- 3.2.1.2-1 SCWA Demand and Supply Sources
- 3.2.1.2-2 CALSIM II Input for SCWA CVP Diversion Pattern @ Sacramento WTP (TAF) Alternatives 2-5 (2001 LOD)

- 3.2.1.2-3 CALSIM II Input for SCWA CVP Diversion Pattern @ Freeport (TAF)
Alternatives 2-5 (2001 LOD)
- 3.2.1.2-4 CALSIM II Input for SCWA "Other" Water Diversion Pattern @ Freeport (TAF)
Alternatives 2-5 (2001 LOD)
- 3.2.2-1 FRWP-Specific Assumptions for 2001 LOD
- 3.2.2-2 FRWP-Specific Assumptions for 2020 LOD

- 3.4.2-1 Simulated Total Project Diversions (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1,
2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-2 Simulated Total Project Diversions (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1,
2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-3 Simulated EBMUD Water Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001
LOD
- 3.4.2-4 Simulated SCWA Total Diversions (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-5 Simulated SCWA Total Diversions (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-6 Simulated SCWA Total Diversions (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-7 Simulated SCWA CVP Diversions @ Sacramento River WTP (TAF), Alternative
1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-8 Simulated SCWA CVP Diversions @ Sacramento River WTP (TAF),
Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-9 Simulated SCWA CVP Diversions @ Sacramento River WTP (TAF), Alternative
6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-10 Simulated SCWA Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-11 Simulated SCWA Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-12 Simulated SCWA CVP Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001
LOD
- 3.4.2-13 Simulated SCWA CVP Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-14 Simulated SCWA Appropriated Excess Water @ Freeport (TAF), Alternatives 2-
5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-15 Simulated SCWA Appropriated Excess Water @ Freeport (TAF), Alternative 6,
2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-16 Simulated "Other" Water Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001
LOD
- 3.4.2-17 Simulated "Other" Water Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.3-1 Simulated Pardee Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-2 Simulated Pardee Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-3 Simulated Pardee Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-4 Simulated Camanche Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-5 Simulated Camanche Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-6 Simulated Camanche Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.3-7 Simulated EBMUD Total System Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-8 Simulated EBMUD Total System Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-9 Simulated EBMUD Total System Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-10 Simulated Trinity Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-11 Simulated Trinity Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-12 Simulated Trinity Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-13 Simulated Trinity Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-14 Simulated Trinity Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-15 Simulated Whiskeytown Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-16 Simulated Whiskeytown Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-17 Simulated Whiskeytown Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-18 Simulated Whiskeytown Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-19 Simulated Whiskeytown Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-20 Simulated Shasta Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-21 Simulated Shasta Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-22 Simulated Shasta Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-23 Simulated Shasta Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-24 Simulated Shasta Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-25 Simulated Folsom Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.3-26 Simulated Folsom Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-27 Simulated Folsom Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-28 Simulated Folsom Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-29 Simulated Folsom Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-30 Simulated Oroville Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-31 Simulated Oroville Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-32 Simulated Oroville Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-33 Simulated Oroville Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-34 Simulated Oroville Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-35 Simulated CVP Total Upstream Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-36 Simulated CVP Total Upstream Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-37 Simulated CVP Total Upstream Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-38 Simulated CVP Total Upstream Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-39 Simulated CVP Total Upstream Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-40 Simulated CVP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-41 Simulated CVP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-42 Simulated CVP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-43 Simulated CVP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-44 Simulated CVP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-45 Simulated SWP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-46 Simulated SWP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-47 Simulated SWP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-48 Simulated SWP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.3-49 Simulated SWP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.4-1 Simulated Trinity River Flow Below Lewiston Reservoir (CFS), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-2 Simulated Trinity River Flow Below Lewiston Reservoir (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-3 Simulated Trinity River Flow Below Lewiston Reservoir (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-4 Simulated Trinity River Flow Below Lewiston Reservoir (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-5 Simulated Trinity River Flow Below Lewiston Reservoir (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-6 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (CFS), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-7 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-8 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-9 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-10 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-11 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Navigation Control Point (CFS) , Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-12 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Navigation Control Point (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-13 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Navigation Control Point (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-14 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Navigation Control Point (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-15 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Navigation Control Point (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-16 Simulated Sacramento River Below Freeport, Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (CFS), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-17 Simulated Sacramento River Below Freeport, Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.4-18 Simulated Sacramento River Below Freeport, Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-19 Simulated Sacramento River Below Freeport, Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-20 Simulated Sacramento River Below Freeport, Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-21 Simulated Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (CFS), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-22 Simulated Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-23 Simulated Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-24 Simulated Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-25 Simulated Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-26 Simulated Feather River Flow at the Mouth (CFS), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-27 Simulated Feather River Flow at the Mouth (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-28 Simulated Feather River Flow at the Mouth (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-29 Simulated Feather River Flow at the Mouth (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-30 Simulated Feather River Flow at the Mouth (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-31 Simulated American River Flow at Nimbus (CFS), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-32 Simulated American River Flow at Nimbus (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 , 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-33 Simulated American River Flow at Nimbus (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-34 Simulated American River Flow at Nimbus (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-35 Simulated American River Flow at Nimbus (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-36 Simulated American River Flow at H Street (CFS), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-37 Simulated American River Flow at H Street (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-38 Simulated American River Flow at H Street (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-39 Simulated American River Flow at H Street (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.4-40 Simulated American River Flow at H Street (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-41 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Release (CFS), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-42 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Release (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-43 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Release (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-44 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Release (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-45 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Release (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-46 Simulated Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (CFS), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-47 Simulated Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-48 Simulated Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-49 Simulated Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.4-50 Simulated Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.5-1 Simulated Sacramento River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-2 Simulated Sacramento River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-3 Simulated Sacramento River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-4 Simulated Sacramento River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-5 Simulated Sacramento River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-6 Simulated Mokelumne River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-7 Simulated Mokelumne River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-8 Simulated Mokelumne River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-9 Simulated Mokelumne River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-10 Simulated Mokelumne River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.5-11 Simulated Georgiana Slough Flow (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-12 Simulated Georgiana Slough Flow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-13 Simulated Georgiana Slough Flow (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-14 Simulated Georgiana Slough Flow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-15 Simulated Georgiana Slough Flow (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-16 Simulated San Joaquin River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-17 Simulated San Joaquin River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-18 Simulated San Joaquin River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-19 Simulated San Joaquin River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-20 Simulated San Joaquin River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-21 Simulated Total Delta Inflow (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-22 Simulated Total Delta Inflow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-23 Simulated Total Delta Inflow (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-24 Simulated Total Delta Inflow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-25 Simulated Total Delta Inflow (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-26 Simulated Delta Outflow (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-27 Simulated Delta Outflow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-28 Simulated Delta Outflow (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-29 Simulated Delta Outflow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-30 Simulated Delta Outflow (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-31 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Position (Days Open), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-32 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Position (Days Open), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-33 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Position (Days Open), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-34 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Net Flow (CFS), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-35 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Net Flow (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.5-36 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Net Flow (CFS), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-37 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Net Flow (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.5-38 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Net Flow (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.6-1 Simulated Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-2 Simulated Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-3 Simulated Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-4 Simulated Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-5 Simulated Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-6 Simulated Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-7 Simulated Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-8 Simulated Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-9 Simulated Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.6-10 Simulated Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.7-1 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF) , Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-2 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-3 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-4 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-5 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.7-6 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-7 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-8 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-9 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-10 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-11 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-12 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-13 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-14 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-15 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-16 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 1 , 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-17 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-18 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-19 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.7-20 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.8-1 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-2 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-3 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-4 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.8-5 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-6 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to MWD (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-7 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to MWD (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-8 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to MWD (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-9 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to MWD (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-10 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to MWD (TAF) , Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-11 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-12 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-13 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-14 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.8-15 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 3.4.10-1 Summary, EBMUD-as-Sacramento-River-inbasin-use study compared with EBMUD-as-Delta-export study, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.10-2 Annual Comparison for CVP System, EBMUD-as-Sacramento-River-inbasin-use study compared with EBMUD-as-Delta-export study, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.10-3 Annual Comparison for SWP System, EBMUD-as-Sacramento-River-inbasin-use study compared with EBMUD-as-Delta-export study, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD

- 3.5.2-1 Simulated Total Project Diversions (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-2 Simulated Total Project Diversions (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-3 Simulated EBMUD Water Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD

- 3.5.2-4 Simulated SCWA Total Diversions (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-5 Simulated SCWA Total Diversions (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-6 Simulated SCWA Total Diversions (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-7 Simulated SCWA CVP Diversions @ Sacramento River WTP (TAF), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-8 Simulated SCWA CVP Diversions @ Sacramento River WTP (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-9 Simulated SCWA CVP Diversions @ Sacramento River WTP (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-10 Simulated SCWA Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-11 Simulated SCWA Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-12 Simulated SCWA CVP Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-13 Simulated SCWA CVP Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-14 Simulated SCWA Appropriated Excess Water @ Freeport (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-15 Simulated SCWA Appropriated Excess Water @ Freeport (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 3.5.2-16 Simulated "Other" Water Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 3.4.2-17 Simulated "Other" Water Diversions @ Freeport (TAF), Alternative 6, 2001 LOD

- 3.5.3-1 Simulated Pardee Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-2 Simulated Pardee Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-3 Simulated Pardee Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-4 Simulated Camanche Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-5 Simulated Camanche Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-6 Simulated Camanche Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-7 Simulated EBMUD Total System Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-8 Simulated EBMUD Total System Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-9 Simulated EBMUD Total System Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-10 Simulated Trinity Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.3-11 Simulated Trinity Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-12 Simulated Trinity Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-13 Simulated Trinity Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-14 Simulated Trinity Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-15 Simulated Whiskeytown Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-16 Simulated Whiskeytown Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-17 Simulated Whiskeytown Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-18 Simulated Whiskeytown Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-19 Simulated Whiskeytown Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-20 Simulated Shasta Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-21 Simulated Shasta Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-22 Simulated Shasta Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-23 Simulated Shasta Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-24 Simulated Shasta Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-25 Simulated Folsom Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-26 Simulated Folsom Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-27 Simulated Folsom Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-28 Simulated Folsom Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-29 Simulated Folsom Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-30 Simulated Oroville Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-31 Simulated Oroville Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-32 Simulated Oroville Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-33 Simulated Oroville Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-34 Simulated Oroville Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-35 Simulated CVP Total Upstream Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-36 Simulated CVP Total Upstream Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.3-37 Simulated CVP Total Upstream Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-38 Simulated CVP Total Upstream Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-39 Simulated CVP Total Upstream Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-40 Simulated CVP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-41 Simulated CVP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-42 Simulated CVP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-43 Simulated CVP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-44 Simulated CVP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-45 Simulated SWP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-46 Simulated SWP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-47 Simulated SWP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-48 Simulated SWP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.3-49 Simulated SWP San Luis Storage (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.4-1 Simulated Trinity River Flow Below Lewiston Reservoir (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-2 Simulated Trinity River Flow Below Lewiston Reservoir (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-3 Simulated Trinity River Flow Below Lewiston Reservoir (CFS), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-4 Simulated Trinity River Flow Below Lewiston Reservoir (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-5 Simulated Trinity River Flow Below Lewiston Reservoir (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-6 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-7 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.4-8 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (CFS), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-9 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-10 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Keswick Dam (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-11 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Navigation Control Point (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-12 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Navigation Control Point (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-13 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Navigation Control Point (CFS), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-14 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Navigation Control Point (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-15 Simulated Sacramento River Flow Below Navigation Control Point (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-16 Simulated Sacramento River Below Freeport, Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-17 Simulated Sacramento River Below Freeport, Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-18 Simulated Sacramento River Below Freeport, Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (CFS), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-19 Simulated Sacramento River Below Freeport, Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-20 Simulated Sacramento River Below Freeport, Upstream of DSA 70 Return Flow (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-21 Simulated Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-22 Simulated Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-23 Simulated Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (CFS), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-24 Simulated Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-25 Simulated Feather River Flow Below Thermalito (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-26 Simulated Feather River Flow at the Mouth (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.4-27 Simulated Feather River Flow at the Mouth (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-28 Simulated Feather River Flow at the Mouth (CFS), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-29 Simulated Feather River Flow at the Mouth (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-30 Simulated Feather River Flow at the Mouth (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-31 Simulated American River Flow Below Nimbus (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-32 Simulated American River Flow Below Nimbus (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 , 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-33 Simulated American River Flow Below Nimbus (CFS), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-34 Simulated American River Flow Below Nimbus (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-35 Simulated American River Flow Below Nimbus (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-36 Simulated American River Flow at H Street (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-37 Simulated American River Flow at H Street (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-38 Simulated American River Flow at H Street (CFS), Alternative 6 , 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-39 Simulated American River Flow at H Street (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-40 Simulated American River Flow at H Street (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-41 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Release (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-42 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Release (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-43 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Release (CFS), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-44 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Release (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-45 Simulated Camanche Reservoir Release (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-46 Simulated Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-47 Simulated Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-48 Simulated Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (CFS), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.4-49 Simulated Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.4-50 Simulated Mokelumne River Flow at Woodbridge (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.5-1 Simulated Sacramento River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-2 Simulated Sacramento River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-3 Simulated Sacramento River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-4 Simulated Sacramento River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-5 Simulated Sacramento River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-6 Simulated Mokelumne River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-7 Simulated Mokelumne River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-8 Simulated Mokelumne River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-9 Simulated Mokelumne River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-10 Simulated Mokelumne River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-11 Simulated Georgiana Slough Flow (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-12 Simulated Georgiana Slough Flow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-13 Simulated Georgiana Slough Flow (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-14 Simulated Georgiana Slough Flow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-15 Simulated Georgiana Slough Flow (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-16 Simulated San Joaquin River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-17 Simulated San Joaquin River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-18 Simulated San Joaquin River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-19 Simulated San Joaquin River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-20 Simulated San Joaquin River Inflow to Delta (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.5-21 Simulated Total Delta Inflow (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-22 Simulated Total Delta Inflow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-23 Simulated Total Delta Inflow (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-24 Simulated Total Delta Inflow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-25 Simulated Total Delta Inflow (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-26 Simulated Delta Outflow (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-27 Simulated Delta Outflow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-28 Simulated Delta Outflow (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-29 Simulated Delta Outflow (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-30 Simulated Delta Outflow (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-31 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Position (Days Open), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-32 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Position (Days Open), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-33 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Position (Days Open), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-34 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Net Flow (CFS), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-35 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Net Flow (CFS), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-36 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Net Flow (CFS), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.5-37 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Net Flow (CFS), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.4.5-39 Simulated Delta Cross-Channel Net Flow (CFS), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.6-1 Simulated Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-2 Simulated Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-3 Simulated Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-4 Simulated Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.6-5 Simulated Delta Exports at Banks Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-6 Simulated Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-7 Simulated Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-8 Simulated Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-9 Simulated Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.6-10 Simulated Delta Exports at Tracy Pumping Plant (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.7-1 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-2 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-3 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-4 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-5 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-6 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-7 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-8 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-9 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-10 Simulated CVP North-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-11 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-12 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-13 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.7-14 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-15 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Agricultural Water Service Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-16 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-17 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-18 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-19 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.7-20 Simulated CVP South-of-Delta M&I Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.8-1 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-2 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-3 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-4 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-5 Simulated Annual SWP Agricultural Deliveries (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-6 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to MWD (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-7 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to MWD (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-8 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to MWD (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-9 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to MWD (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-10 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries to MWD (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-11 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD (TAF), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-12 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD (TAF), Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD

- 3.5.8-13 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD (TAF), Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-14 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD (TAF), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 3.5.8-15 Simulated Annual SWP M&I Deliveries Other than MWD (TAF), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 4.1.1-1 Water quality at Delta drinking water intakes between 1982 and 1995
- 4.1.1-2 Treated water quality of urban agencies using Delta water in 2001

- 4.1.3-1 Statistics of potential changes in monthly Delta hydrology and export pumping at 2001 LOD, based on CALSIM II results for water years 1922-1991
- 4.1.3-2 Statistics of potential changes in monthly Delta hydrology and export pumping at 2020 LOD, based on CALSIM II results for water years 1922-1991

- 4.2.6.2-1 Monthly-average temperature at Contra Costa Canal based on 115 measurements made by DWR between Oct 1990 and Feb 1998

- 4.3.2.1-1a Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in Sacramento River inflow (below Freeport) in Alternative 1 at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.2.1-1b Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in Sacramento River inflow (below Freeport) in Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.2.1-1c Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in Sacramento River inflow (below Freeport) in Alternative 6 at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.2.1-2a Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in Sacramento River inflow (below Freeport) in Alternative 1 at 2020 LOD
- 4.3.2.1-2b Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in Sacramento River inflow (below Freeport) in Alternatives 2-5 at 2020 LOD
- 4.3.2.1-2c Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in Sacramento River inflow (below Freeport) in Alternative 6 at 2020 LOD
- 4.3.2.2-1a Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in San Joaquin River inflow at Vernalis in Alternative 1 at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.2.2-1b Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in San Joaquin River inflow at Vernalis in Alternatives 2-5 at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.2.2-1c Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in San Joaquin River inflow at Vernalis in Alternative 6 at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.2.2-2a Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in San Joaquin River inflow at Vernalis in Alternative 1 at 2020 LOD

- 4.3.2.2-2b Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in San Joaquin River inflow at Vernalis in Alternatives 2-5 at 2020 LOD
- 4.3.2.2-2c Monthly-average chloride concentration (mg/L) in San Joaquin River inflow at Vernalis in Alternative 6 at 2020 LOD
- 4.3.2.3-1 Regression relationships used in the conversion of salinity parameters
- 4.3.4-1 Monthly demand (in cfs) assumed for division of CCWD diversions
- 4.3.4-2a Monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Rock Slough intake in Alternative 1 at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.4-2b Differences between Alternatives 2 through 5 and Alternative 1 in monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Rock Slough intake at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.4-2c Differences between Alternative 6 and Alternative 1 in monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Rock Slough intake at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.4-3a Monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Los Vaqueros intake at Old River in Alternative 1 at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.4-3b Differences between Alternatives 2 through 5 and Alternative 1 in monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Los Vaqueros intake at Old River at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.4-3c Differences between Alternative 6 and Alternative 1 in monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Los Vaqueros intake at Old River at 2001 LOD
- 4.3.4-4a Monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Rock Slough intake in Alternative 1 at 2020 LOD
- 4.3.4-4b Differences between Alternatives 2 through 5 and Alternative 1 in monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Rock Slough intake at 2020 LOD
- 4.3.4-4c Differences between Alternative 6 and Alternative 1 in monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Rock Slough intake at 2020 LOD
- 4.3.4-5a Monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Los Vaqueros intake at Old River in Alternative 1 at 2020 LOD

- 4.3.4-5b Differences between Alternatives 2 through 5 and Alternative 1 in monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Los Vaqueros intake at Old River at 2020 LOD
- 4.3.4-5c Differences between Alternative 6 and Alternative 1 in monthly-average diversion of Contra Costa Water District at its Los Vaqueros intake at Old River at 2020 LOD
- 4.4-1 Historical hydrological year types based on measured unimpaired runoffs
- 4.4.1-1 Summary statistics of 70 years of simulated monthly average electrical conductivity at Martinez and potential changes in Alts.2-5 compared to Alt.1 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.1-2 [This Table is intentionally left blank.]
- 4.4.1-3 Summary statistics of 70 years of simulated monthly average electrical conductivity at Martinez and potential changes in Alt.6 compared to Alt.1 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.1-4 Summary statistics of 70 years of simulated monthly average electrical conductivity at Martinez and potential changes in Alts.2-5 compared to Alt.1 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.1-5 Summary statistics of 70 years of simulated monthly average electrical conductivity at Martinez and potential changes in Alt.6 compared to Alt.1 at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.2-1 Summary statistics of potential changes resulting from Project alternatives on X2 (km) for the months February through June at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.2-2 Summary statistics of potential changes caused by Project alternatives on electrical conductivity at Chipps Island for the months February through June
- 4.4.2-3 Summary statistics of potential changes in monthly average salinity at Collinsville between October and May at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.2-4 Summary statistics of potential changes in monthly-average salinity at Jersey Point in April and May at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.2-5 Summary statistics of potential changes in monthly-average salinity at Prisoner's Point in April and May at 2001 LOD

- 4.4.2-6 Summary statistics of potential changes resulting from Project alternatives on X2 (km) for the months February through June at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.2-7 Summary statistics of potential changes caused by Project alternatives on electrical conductivity at Chipps Island for the months February through June at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.2-8 Summary statistics of potential Project impacts on monthly average chloride concentration at Collinsville between October and May at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.2-9 Summary statistics of potential Project impacts on monthly average chloride concentration at Jersey Point in April and May at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.2-10 Summary statistics of potential Project impacts on monthly average chloride concentration at Prisoner's Point in April and May at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.4-1 Summary statistics of 70-years of simulated monthly average chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River at 2001 LOD and potential changes under Alts.2-5 compared to Alt.1
- 4.4.4-2 Statistics of potential Project changes under Alts.2-5 in monthly-average chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River from Alt.1, at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4-3 Summary statistics of potential changes in monthly average salinity in Old River south of Highway 4 crossing at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4-4 Summary statistics of potential changes in monthly average salinity in Clifton Court Forebay at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4-5 Summary statistics of potential changes in monthly average salinity at Tracy Pumping Plant at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4-6 Summary statistics of 70 years of simulated monthly average chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River and potential changes of Alt.6 compared to Alt.1.
- 4.4.4-7 Statistics of potential changes under Alt.6 in monthly-average chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River
- 4.4.4-8 Summary statistics of simulated monthly average chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River from water years 1922 to 1991 at 2020 LOD and potential changes of Alts.2-5 compared to Alt.1

- 4.4.4-9 Statistics of potential changes under Alts.2-5 in monthly-average chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.4-10 Summary statistics of potential changes in monthly average salinity in Old River south of Highway 4 crossing at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.4-11 Summary statistics of potential changes in monthly average salinity in Clifton Court Forebay at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.4-12 Summary statistics of potential changes in monthly average salinity at Tracy Pumping Plant at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.4-13 Summary statistics of simulated monthly average chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River from water years 1922 to 1991 at 2020 LOD and potential changes of Alt.6 compared to Alt.1
- 4.4.4-14 Statistics of potential changes under Alt.6 in monthly-average chloride concentration in Rock Slough at Old River at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.4.1.1-1 Summary statistics of 70-years of annual mean bromate concentration (computed quarterly) in ozonated water from Rock Slough at Old River and potential changes under Alts.2-5 compared to Alt.1 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4.1.2-1 Summary statistics of 70-years of annual mean TTHM concentration (computed quarterly) in chlorinated water from Rock Slough at Old River and potential changes under Alts.2-5 compared to Alt.1 at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4.2-1 Salt Load exported at Banks Pumping Plant between 1922 and 1991 for Alt. 1 and Alts. 2-5 under 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4.2-2 Salt Load exported at Banks Pumping Plant between 1922 and 1991 for Alt. 1 and Alt. 6 under 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4.2-3 Salt Load exported at Banks Pumping Plant between 1922 and 1991 at 2020 LOD based on FDM estimates
- 4.4.4.3-1 Mean monthly diversions and salt loads at CCWD intakes at 2001 LOD
- 4.4.4.3-2 Statistics of salt load diverted at CCWD intakes at 2020 LOD
- 4.4.5-1 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Martinez / Benicia, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-2 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Martinez / Benicia, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 4.4.5-3 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Martinez /Benicia, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-4 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Chipps Island, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-5 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Chipps Island, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-6 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Chipps Island, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-7 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Collinsville, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-8 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Collinsville, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-9 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Collinsville, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-10 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Jersey Point, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-11 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Jersey Point, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-12 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Jersey Point, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-13 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Prisoners Point, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-14 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Prisoners Point, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-15 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Prisoners Point, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-16 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-17 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 4.4.5-18 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-19 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Old River at CCWD's Los Vaqueros intake, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-20 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Old River at CCWD's Los Vaqueros intake, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-21 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Old River at CCWD's Los Vaqueros intake, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-22 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Tracy Pumping Plant, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-23 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Tracy Pumping Plant, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-24 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Tracy Pumping Plant, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-25 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Clifton Court Forebay, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-26 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Clifton Court Forebay, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-27 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Clifton Court Forebay, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-28 Simulated running annual average bromate concentration (ug/L) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-29 Difference in simulated running annual average bromate concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-30 Difference in simulated running annual average bromate concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 4.4.5-31 Simulated running annual average total trihalomethanes concentration (ug/L) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-32 Percentage difference in simulated running annual average total trihalomethanes concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-33 Percentage difference in simulated running annual average total trihalomethanes concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-34 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at Martinez/Benicia, DSM2 results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-35 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Martinez/Benicia, DSM2 results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-36 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Martinez/Benicia, DSM2 results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-37 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at Chipps Island, DSM2 results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-38 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Chipps Island, DSM2 results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-39 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Chipps Island, DSM2 results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-40 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at Collinsville, DSM2 results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-41 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Collinsville, DSM2 results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-42 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Collinsville, DSM2 results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-43 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at Jersey Point, DSM2 results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-44 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Jersey Point, DSM2 results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 4.4.5-45 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Jersey Point, DSM2 results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-46 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) in Barker Slough at North Bay Aqueduct Intake, DSM2 results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-47 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) in Barker Slough at North Bay Aqueduct Intake, DSM2 results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-48 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) in Barker Slough at North Bay Aqueduct Intake, DSM2 results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-49 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, DSM2 results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-50 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, DSM2 results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-51 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, DSM2 results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-52 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at Old River at CCWD's Los Vaqueros intake, DSM2 results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-53 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Old River at CCWD's Los Vaqueros intake, DSM2 results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-54 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Old River at CCWD's Los Vaqueros intake, DSM2 results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-55 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at Tracy Pumping Plant, DSM2 results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-56 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Tracy Pumping Plant, DSM2 results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-57 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Tracy Pumping Plant, DSM2 results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 4.4.5-58 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at Clifton Court Forebay, DSM2 results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-59 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Clifton Court Forebay, DSM2 results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-60 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Clifton Court Forebay, DSM2 results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-61 Simulated running annual average bromate concentration (ug/L) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on DSM2 estimated salinity for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-62 Difference in simulated running annual average bromate concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on DSM2 estimated salinity for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-63 Difference in simulated running annual average bromate concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on DSM2 estimated salinity for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-64 Simulated running annual average total trihalomethanes concentration (ug/L) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on DSM2 estimated salinity for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-65 Percentage difference in simulated running annual average total trihalomethanes concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on DSM2 estimated salinity for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-66 Percentage difference in simulated running annual average total trihalomethanes concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on DSM2 estimated salinity for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-67 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (mS/cm) at Martinez/Benicia, G-model results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-68 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Martinez/Benicia, G-model results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-69 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Martinez/Benicia, G-model results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-70 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (mS/cm) at Chipps Island, G-model results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 4.4.5-71 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Chipps Island, G-model results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-72 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Chipps Island, G-model results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-73 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (mS/cm) at Collinsville, G-model results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-74 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Collinsville, G-model results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-75 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Collinsville, G-model results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-76 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (mS/cm) at Jersey Point, G-model results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-77 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Jersey Point, G-model results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-78 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Jersey Point, G-model results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-79 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Contra Costa Canal at Pumping Plant No.1, G-model results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-80 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Contra Costa Canal at Pumping Plant No.1, G-model results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-81 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Contra Costa Canal at Pumping Plant No.1, G-model results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-82 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (μ S/cm) at Jersey Point, ANN results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-83 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Jersey Point, ANN results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-84 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Jersey Point, ANN results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD

- 4.4.5-85 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, ANN results for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-86 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, ANN results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-87 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, ANN results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-88 Simulated X2 position (km), Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-89 Simulated X2 position (km), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.5-90 Simulated X2 position (km), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 4.4.6-1 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Martinez/Benicia, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-2 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Martinez/Benicia, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-3 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Martinez/Benicia, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-4 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Chipps Island, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-5 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Chipps Island, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-6 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Chipps Island, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-7 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Collinsville, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-8 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Collinsville, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-9 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Collinsville, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 4.4.6-10 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Jersey Point, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-11 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Jersey Point, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-12 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Jersey Point, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-13 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Prisoners Point, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-14 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Prisoners Point, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-15 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Prisoners Point, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-16 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-17 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-18 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-19 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Old River at CCWD's Los Vaqueros intake, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-20 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Old River at CCWD's Los Vaqueros intake, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-21 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Old River at CCWD's Los Vaqueros intake, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-22 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Tracy Pumping Plant, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-23 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Tracy Pumping Plant, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 4.4.6-24 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Tracy Pumping Plant, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-25 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Clifton Court Forebay, FDM results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-26 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Clifton Court Forebay, FDM results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-27 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Clifton Court Forebay, FDM results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-28 Simulated running annual average bromate concentration (ug/L) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-29 Difference in simulated running annual average bromate concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-30 Difference in simulated running annual average bromate concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-31 Simulated running annual average total trihalomethanes concentration (ug/L) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-32 Percentage difference in simulated running annual average total trihalomethanes concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-33 Percentage difference in simulated running annual average total trihalomethanes concentration (%) for source water from Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, based on FDM estimated salinity for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-34 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (mS/cm) at Martinez/Benicia, G-model results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-35 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Martinez/Benicia, G-model results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-36 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Martinez/Benicia, G-model results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 4.4.6-37 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (mS/cm) at Chipps Island, G-model results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-38 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Chipps Island, G-model results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-39 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Chipps Island, G-model results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-40 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (mS/cm) at Collinsville, G-model results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-41 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Collinsville, G-model results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-42 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Collinsville, G-model results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-43 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (mS/cm) at Jersey Point, G-model results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-44 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Jersey Point, G-model results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-45 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Jersey Point, G-model results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-46 Simulated monthly average chloride concentration (mg/L) at Contra Costa Canal at Pumping Plant No.1, G-model results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-47 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Contra Costa Canal at Pumping Plant No.1, G-model results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-48 Difference in simulated monthly average chloride concentration (%) at Contra Costa Canal at Pumping Plant No.1, G-model results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-49 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (μ S/cm) at Jersey Point, ANN results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-50 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Jersey Point, ANN results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 4.4.6-51 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Jersey Point, ANN results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-52 Simulated monthly average electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, ANN results for Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-53 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, ANN results for Alternatives 2-5 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-54 Difference in simulated monthly average electrical conductivity (%) at Rock Slough Entrance at Old River, ANN results for Alternative 6 vs. Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-55 Simulated X2 position (km), Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-56 Simulated X2 position (km), Alternatives 2-5 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 4.4.6-57 Simulated X2 position (km), Alternative 6 minus Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 5.4.1-1 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the Trinity River, Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-2 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Trinity River, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-3 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Trinity River, Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-4 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Trinity River According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.4.1-5 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Trinity River According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.4.1-6 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam, Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-7 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-8 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam, Alternatives 6, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-9 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)

- 5.4.1-10 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.4.1-11 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the Sacramento River at Ben Bridge, Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-12 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Ben Bridge, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-13 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Ben Bridge, Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-14 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.4.1-15 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.4.1-16 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the Sacramento River at Red Bluff Diversion Dam, Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-17 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Red Bluff Diversion Dam, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-18 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Red Bluff Diversion Dam, Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-19 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff Diversion Dam According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.4.1-20 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff Diversion Dam According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.4.1-21 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the Feather River below Thermalito, Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-22 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Feather River below Thermalito, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-23 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Feather River below Thermalito, Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-24 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Feather River below Thermalito According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.4.1-25 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Feather River below Thermalito According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)

- 5.4.1-26 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the American River, Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-27 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the American River, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-28 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the American River, Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.1-29 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the American River at Sunrise According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.4.1-30 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the American River at Sunrise According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2001 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.4.2-1 Simulated Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality (%) in the Trinity River for Alternative 1 and Change in Percentage for Alternatives 2-5 and Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.2-2 Simulated Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality (%) in the Sacramento River for Alternative 1 and Change in Percentage for Alternatives 2-5 and Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.2-3 Simulated Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality (%) in the Feather River for Alternative 1 and Change in Percentage for Alternatives 2-5 and Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 5.4.2-4 Simulated Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality (%) in the American River for Alternative 1 and Change in Percentage for Alternatives 2-5 and Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 5.5.1-1 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the Trinity River, Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-2 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Trinity River, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-3 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Trinity River, Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-4 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Trinity River According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.5.1-5 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Trinity River According to Water Year Type (Comparison of 2020 Alternative 6, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)

- 5.5.1-6 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam, Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-7 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-8 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam, Alternatives 6, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-9 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.5.1-10 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.5.1-11 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the Sacramento River at Ben Bridge, Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-12 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Ben Bridge, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-13 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Ben Bridge, Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-14 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.5.1-15 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.5.1-16 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the Sacramento River at Red Bluff Diversion Dam, Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-17 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Red Bluff Diversion Dam, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-18 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Sacramento River at Red Bluff Diversion Dam, Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-19 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff Diversion Dam According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.5.1-20 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff Diversion Dam According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.5.1-21. Simulated Water Temperature Data for the Feather River below Thermalito, Alternative 1, 2020 LOD

- 5.5.1-22 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Feather River below Thermalito, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-23. Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the Feather River below Thermalito, Alternative 6, 2020 LOD

- 5.5.1-24 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Feather River below Thermalito According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.5.1-25 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the Feather River below Thermalito According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.5.1-26 Simulated Water Temperature Data for the American River, Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-27 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the American River, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-28 Simulated Change in Water Temperature for the American River, Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.1-29 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the American River at Sunrise According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)
- 5.5.1-30 Frequency of Change in Water Temperature in the American River at Sunrise According to Water Year Type (Comparison of Alternative 6, 2020 LOD and Alternative 1, 2001 LOD)

- 5.5.2-1 Simulated Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality (%) in the Trinity River for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD and Change in Percentage for Alternative 1, Alternatives 2-5, and Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.2-2 Simulated Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality (%) in the Sacramento River for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD and Change in Percentage for Alternative 1, Alternatives 2-5, and Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.2-3 Simulated Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality (%) in the Feather River for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD and Change in Percentage for Alternative 1, Alternatives 2-5, and Alternative 6, 2020 LOD
- 5.5.2-4 Simulated Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality (%) in the American River for Alternative 1, 2001 LOD and Change in Percentage for Alternative 1, Alternatives 2-5, and Alternative 6, 2020 LOD

- 6.2-1 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Plant and at Load Center, Alternative 1, 2001 LOD and 2020 LOD

- 6.2-2 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Individual Plants, Alternative 1, 2001 LOD
- 6.2-3 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Individual Plants, Alternative 1, 2020 LOD
- 6.2-4 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Plant and at Load Center, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 and 2020 LOD
- 6.2-5 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Individual Plants, Alternatives 2-5, 2001 LOD
- 6.2-6 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Individual Plants, Alternatives 2-5, 2020 LOD
- 6.2-7 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Plant and at Load Center, Alternative 6, 2001 and 2020 LOD
- 6.2-8 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Individual Plants Alternative 6, 2001 LOD
- 6.2-9 Simulated Monthly Average Total System Energy at Individual Plants Alternative 6, 2020 LOD